Case 1:22-cr-0029 UNITED STATES DISTRICT: IEO YEO 7/22 Page 1 of 2

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 22 MJ 00163 SKO
Plaintiff,	
v.	DETENTION ORDER
RICARDO RIVAS ARREDONDO,	
Defendant.	
A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C	S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders the C. § 3142(e) and (i).
B. Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition assure the appearance of the defendant as require X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant's detention because it the control of the defendant as required assure the appearance of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required as the defendant	ition or combination of conditions will reasonably d. on or combination of conditions will reasonably
Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following: X	and Possess with Intent to Distribute a Controlled Substance, is malty of life f controlled substances. dant is high. Int including: mental condition which may affect whether the mily ties in the area. ady employment. Instantial financial resources. resident of the community. It known significant community ties. It roperty of friends and not sufficient ties to assure defendants of release ting to drug abuse. It ing to alcohol abuse.

Defendant: RICARDO RIVAS ARREDONDO Case Number: 22 MJ 00163 SKO Document 40 Filed 11/07/22 Page 2 of 2

	(b) Whether	er the (defendant was on probati	tion, parole, or release by a court;
			At th	e time of the current arre	est, the defendant was on:
				Probation	
				Parole	
				Release pending trial, s	sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
		(c) Other	Factor	• •	
		(1)	X		legal alien and is subject to deportation.
					al alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
				Other:	ar affect and will be subject to deportution if convicted.
	(4)	The neture	ond (represed by the defendant's release are as follows:
					er posed by the defendant's release are as follows:
	(5)	Rebuttable		•	
			_		d be detained, the court also relied on the following
			_	•	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e), which the court finds the
		defendant	has no	ot rebutted:	
		x a.		· ·	one described in § 3142(f)(1).
				(A) a crime of violence	e; or
			X	(B) an offense for which	ch the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
			X	(C) a controlled substan	ance violation that has a maximum penalty of ten years or
				more; or	
				(D) A felony after the o	defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses
				described in (A) through	gh (C) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction of one of the
					A) through (C) above which is less than five years old and which
					the defendant was on pretrial release
		b.		•	elieve that defendant committed an offense for which a
			maxi	mum term of imprisonm	nent of ten years or more is prescribed
				in the Controlled Subst	stances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801, et seq.,
				the Controlled Substan	nces Import and Export Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 951, et seq.,
				the Maritime Drug Lav	w Enforcement Act, 46 U.S.C. App. §§ 1901, et seq., or
				an offense under 18 U.	.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.
				an offense involving a	minor under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1),
				•	252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2),
				2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)	a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
D.		itional Dire		='	
	Purs	uant to 18	U.S.C	. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Co	ourt directs that:
	and a	1.6.1			
					of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility
separate	e, to t	ne extent p	oractic	able, from persons await	ting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
	The	defendant	ha affa	ordad raasonahla onnortu	unity for private consultation with counsel; and
	THE	derendant	be arre	orded reasonable opport	unity for private consultation with counser, and
	That	on order	of a co	ourt of the United States,	, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in
charge					ant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for
				in connection with a cou	
IT IS S	SO O	RDERED			C_{1}
					Tung S. De
Dated:		<u>lovembe</u>	er 5,	2022	
					UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE